



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,012	12/14/2004	Kumi Kitajima	Q83712	1951
23373	7590	04/04/2007	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/518,012	KITAJIMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Raymond Covington	1625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/14/04</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The brackets and parentheses in page 91 lines 6,9,15,16, page 92 lines 3,7,15,20, page 93 lines 4,7,15, page 94 lines 2,8,11,15,19,21, page 95 lines 5,10,16, page 96 lines 1,7,12,17,18 and page 97 lines 3,6 are confusing, indefinite, not necessary to an understanding of the claimed subject matter and should be removed or replaced by commas as appropriate.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure, which is not enabling. The definitions of the alphanumerics R<sup>3</sup>, R<sup>4</sup>, R<sup>1</sup>, and R<sup>2</sup> are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The word "type" as recited by the R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> definitions, "acyl- or aroyl-type" and "imide-type" extends the scope of the expressions so as to render them indefinite. It was unclear what "type" is intended to convey.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fahrenholtz et al US 4304721.

Fahrenholtz et al teach amine-alkyl substituted dioxo compounds corresponding to claim 3 where A = carbon, B<sup>1</sup> = hydrogen, B<sup>2</sup> = -O-alkyl. See column 11 line 25.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1625

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sagawa et al US 6376685 taken with Fahrenholtz et al US 4202978, Smits et al US 6001954 in view of Fahrenholtz et al US 4304721, Hollingsworth et al US 6040464 with McEntire US 4371704 and Testard US 2851469.

Sagawa et al teach a process of preparing amine-alkyl or protected amino substituted 2,3-epoxypropane, corresponding to applicants' formula (6), by reacting a diol, corresponding to formula (1) with a alkylsulfonyl halogenide, corresponding to formula (3). See, for example, column 2 lines 38-67and column 3 lines 1-15.

Patentees do not show the dioxo intermediate protecting group. However, this group would be inherently present as the starting materials, and process steps are the same. One would expect the intermediates would also be the same. This is particularly true in view of Fahrenholtz et al US '978 and Smits et al, which teach an analogous process of reacting a diol to form a dioxo intermediate which is converted to the epoxide final product. See respectively, columns 9-12 scheme 5

Art Unit: 1625

and columns 1-2 reaction III-V. As to the amine-alkyl substituted dioxo protected intermediate of claim 3 and its conversion to amine-alkyl epoxy, see Fahrenholtz et al '721 column 11 line 25 where A = carbon, B<sup>1</sup> = hydrogen, B<sup>2</sup> = -O-alkyl, and, column 12 line 66 to column 13 line 5 as well as column 2 line 60 formula VII. Hollingsworth et al teach an analogous intermediate and process and also note that corresponding amino- dioxo compounds, their formula 11, is a key intermediate in the preparation of other chiral compounds. See column 6 line 30 to column 7 line 16 as further shown by McEntire and Testard. See respectively, column 2 lines 1+ and column 1 lines 27-37, without a catalyst. In view of the art as a whole the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie at telephone number (571) 272-0681.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Thomas McKenzie

SPE

Art Unit 1625



RKC